

1 MARIANNE C. LANUTI, SBN 007784

2 **Law Offices of Marianne C. Lanuti**

3 194 Inveraray Court

4 Henderson, NV 89074

5 Tel: 702.501-1147

6 Fax: 702.270-2346

7 Nvkidslaw@gmail.com

8 TODD BOLEY, CA Bar No. 68119

9 **Law Offices of Todd Boley**

10 2831 Mariner Square Dr., Ste 280

11 Alameda, CA 94501

12 Telephone: (510) 836-4500

13 Facsimile: (510) 649-5170

14 (admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

15 PETER W. ALFERT, CA Bar No. 83139

16 **HINTON, ALFERT PC**

17 200 Pringle Ave., Suite 450

18 Walnut Creek, California 94596

19 Telephone: (925) 279-3009

20 Facsimile: (925) 279-3342

21 (admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

22 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

14 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

15 BROOK M. HURD, GERALDINE C. HURD,
16 AND M.H., A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH
17 HER GUARDIAN AD LITEM, BROOK M.
18 HURD; LUIS O. VILLALOBOS; OLIVIA N.
19 ESPINOZA; L.M.V., A MINOR, BY AND
20 THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LITEM
OLIVIA N. ESPINOZA; ZEKROLLAH
SANAEI, ELHAM EGHDAMIAN; and S.S., A
MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS
GUARDIAN AD LITEM, ZEKROLLAH
SANAEI.

Case No. 2:16-cv-02011-GMN-NJK

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
DAMAGES**

[JURY DEMAND]

21 Plaintiffs,

22 v.

23 CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT,
24 JAMES P. DORAN, SHAWN PAQUETTE,
AND KRISTY KELLER

25 Defendants.

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs BROOK M. HURD (“**B. HURD**”); GERALDINE C. HURD (“**G. HURD**”); M.H., a minor, by and through her guardian ad litem, B. HURD (“**MH**”); LUIS O. VILLALOBOS (“**VILLALOBOS**”); OLIVIA N. ESPINOZA (“**ESPINOZA**”); L.M.V., a minor, by and through his guardian ad litem OLIVIA N. ESPINOZA (“**LMV**”), ZEKROLLAH SANAEI (“**SANAEI**”), ELHAM EGHDAMIAN (“**EGHDAMIAN**”) and S.S., a minor, by and through his guardian ad litem, ZEKROLLAH SANAEI (“**SS**”); allege as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff MH is a minor and a resident of Clark County, Nevada.

2. Plaintiff B. HURD is a parent of Plaintiff MH and a spouse of Plaintiff G. HURD and is a resident of Clark County, Nevada. He brings this action on his own behalf and as guardian ad litem on behalf of his daughter, MH.

3. Plaintiff G. HURD is a parent of Plaintiff MH and a spouse of Plaintiff B. HURD and is a resident of Clark County, Nevada. She brings this action on her own behalf.

4. Plaintiff LMV is a minor and a resident of Clark County, Nevada.

5. Plaintiff ESPINOZA is a parent of Plaintiff LMV and a spouse of Plaintiff VILLALOBOS and a resident of Clark County, Nevada. She brings this action on her own behalf and as guardian ad litem on behalf of her son, LMV.

6. Plaintiff VILLALOBOS is parent of Plaintiff LMV and a spouse of Plaintiff ESPINOZA and is a resident of Clark County, Nevada. He brings this action on his own behalf.

7. Plaintiff SS is a minor and a resident of Clark County, Nevada.

8. Plaintiff SANAEI is a parent of SS, a spouse of Plaintiff EGHDAMIAN, and a resident of Clark County, Nevada. He brings this action on his own behalf and as a guardian ad litem on behalf his son, SS.

9. Plaintiff EGHDAMIAN is a parent of SS, a spouse of Plaintiff SANAEI, and a resident of Clark County, Nevada. She brings this action on her own behalf.

10. Defendant CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT (“CCSD”) is a public entity duly incorporated and operating under Nevada law as a school district.

1 **11.** Defendant JAMES P. DORAN (“DORAN”) was at all times relevant herein,
2 employed by Defendant CCSD as a special education teacher at Forbuss Elementary School
3 (“Forbuss”) in Las Vegas, Nevada. All actions by Defendant DORAN alleged herein were taken
4 under color of state law and in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant CCSD.

5 **12.** Defendant SHAWN PAQUETTE (“PAQUETTE”) was at all times relevant
6 herein, employed by Defendant CCSD as the principal at Forbuss. All actions by Defendant
7 PAQUETTE alleged herein were taken under color of state law and in the course and scope of
8 his employment with Defendant CCSD.

9 **13.** Defendant KRISTY KELLER (“KELLER”) was employed by Defendant CCSD at
10 all times relevant herein as the Assistant Chief Student Achievement Officer, and was responsible for
11 Defendant DORAN’s placement. All actions by Defendant KELLER alleged herein were taken
12 under color of state law and in the course and scope of her employment with Defendant CCSD.

13 **JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT**

14 **14.** This court has original jurisdiction over Plaintiffs’ claims for relief pursuant to 28
15 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(3). This court has supplemental jurisdiction over
16 Plaintiffs’ state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1337(a).

17 **15.** CCSD is a public entity subject to Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act
18 of 1990, the requirements of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.,, and to all other legal requirements
19 referred to in this Complaint. In enacting Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act,
20 Congress validly abrogated state sovereign immunity, and thus CCSD may be sued pursuant to
21 Title II. *Hason Med. Bd. Of California*, 279 F.3d 1167, 1170 (9th Cir. 2002). By accepting
22 Federal Rehabilitation Act funds, CCSD waived its sovereign immunity under the Eleventh
23 Amendment to claims brought pursuant to Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.
24 *Pugliese v. Dillenberg*, 346 F.3d 937 (9th Cir. 2003).

25 **16.** Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331(b) because a substantial
26 part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs’ claims occurred in Clark County, Nevada.

27 **17.** This case arose in Clark County, Nevada, and, pursuant to Rule 1-6 of the Local
28 Rules of the Nevada District should be assigned to the Southern Division of the Nevada District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Allegations Common to All Plaintiffs

18. At all times relevant to the allegations herein, MH, LMV, and SS were each special education students at Forbuss and entrusted to the care of Defendants.

19. LMV, MH, and SS were each assigned to the special education classroom of DORAN beginning on or about August 24, 2014.

20. Both LMV and MH have been diagnosed with Autism Spectrum Disorder, are non-verbal and suffer from developmental delays and intellectual disability.

21. SS has been diagnosed with Autism Spectrum Disorder, has extremely limited ability to express himself verbally, and is a person with a disability.

22. During their time in his class, DORAN routinely subjected LMV, MH and SS to a litany of physical and verbal abuses.

23. On information and belief, Plaintiff LMV was subjected to a variety of unconscionable abuses, including being pushed and grabbed, having his hands forcefully slapped and hit, and being thrown into classroom furniture. One teaching assistant later recalled an incident in the classroom where DORAN grabbed LMV's hands, threw them forcefully down, and said "nice hands" to him.

24. On information and belief, Plaintiff MH was also forcibly and directly abused by DORAN, including occasions where she was grabbed and shoved into a wall. On another occasion, DORAN grabbed Plaintiff MH by the hair and lifted her off the floor in response to MH having rested her head on her desk.

25. On information and belief, Plaintiff SS was subjected to a variety of unconscionable abuses by DORAN, including being grabbed hard enough to leave marks, being grabbed by the arm so hard as to cause the muscles and/or tendons and/or bones of his arm to be seriously injured, having his feet stepped on by DORAN to and being kicked by DORAN as he lay on the floor.

111

111

1 **26.** LMV, MH and SS experienced the abuse directly and also observed other
 2 students being slapped, grabbed, pinched, violently restrained, and verbally abused. Upon
 3 information and belief, these included instances where DORAN pinched a child on the face and
 4 another where DORAN purposefully stomped on a child's foot.

5 **27.** The use of aversive intervention is expressly prohibited by state law, which
 6 provides that “[a] person employed by the board of trustees of a school district or any other
 7 person shall not use any aversive intervention on a pupil with a disability.” Nev. Rev. Stat. Ann.
 8 § 388.497. The term “aversive intervention” is defined broadly and includes the use of corporal
 9 punishment as well as verbal and mental abuse where those actions are used to punish or to
 10 eliminate, reduce or discourage maladaptive behavior of a student with a disability. Nev. Rev.
 11 Stat. Ann. § 388.473. Aversive interventions, physical or mechanical restraints on students with
 12 disabilities are also proscribed under District regulations. CCSD Regulation 5141.3.

13 **28.** State law mandates that school districts must provide training to staff regarding
 14 the use of physical and mechanical restraint to ensure the safety of pupils in their care. Nev. Rev.
 15 Stat. Ann. § 388.505. Any person who intentionally uses aversive intervention on a pupil with a
 16 disability is subject to disciplinary action. Nev. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 388.506.

17 **29.** Any incident involving an “aversive intervention” must be reported to the board
 18 of trustees of the school district not later than 24 hours after the incident occurred, or as soon
 19 thereafter as it is discovered. Nev. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 388.508. The board of trustees and school
 20 superintendent must then develop a corrective action plan “to ensure that within 30 calendar days
 21 appropriate action is taken by the school and the board of trustees to prevent future violations.”
 22 Further, any “aversive action” taken against a student with a disability must be entered into the
 23 student’s cumulative record. Nev. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 388.513.

24 **30.** Pursuant to CCSD Regulation 5141.3 and Nev. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 388.501, if a
 25 physical restraint or aversive intervention has been used on a student, it must be reported in the
 26 pupil’s file no later than one working day after the fact. A report (form CCF-624) must also be
 27 sent to the student’s Individualized Education Program (IEP) Team, the student’s parent or
 28 guardian, and the Board of School Trustees/designee of the school district.

1 **31.** The District repeatedly failed to comply with state law and school policy
2 regarding the prompt documentation of the use and nature of aversive interventions in LMV and
3 MH's cumulative records. Further, the District repeatedly failed to report in a timely manner the
4 use of aversive interventions to either the minor plaintiffs' parents or their respective IEP Teams,
5 in violation of Nev. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 388.501. In fact, a number of incidents involving the minor
6 plaintiffs were never documented in their school records nor were the incidents ever reported to
7 their parents.

8 **32.** On information and belief, PAQUETTE, KELLER and other District administrators
9 were aware of what was going on in DORAN's classroom, but failed to take prompt action to
10 protect the children in their care or to report the abuse to law enforcement or to parents.

11 **33.** At all times relevant hereto, LMV, MH and SS never engaged in behavior that
12 rose to the level of an emergency of serious or imminent threat of harm to themselves or others
13 that would justify grabbing them or restraining their freedom of movement.

14 **34.** In or around May 2015, the families of LMV and MH each received separate
15 reports (form CCF-624) from the District that DORAN had used aversive interventions on their
16 children. When the parents asked for more information, the District actively tried to minimize
17 the nature of the abuse and told LMV and MH's parents that the incidents involving their
18 children were "one-time only" occurrences. On information and belief, these representations
19 were knowingly false. Further, at no time did the District disclose to the parents that DORAN
20 was the subject of a criminal investigation into allegations of abuse.

21 **35.** On or about July 14, 2015, a criminal complaint was filed against DORAN,
22 charging him with three counts of battery for willfully and unlawfully using force or violence
23 upon LMV in violation NRS 200.481. However, no one in law enforcement or at the District
24 informed the minor plaintiffs' parents that a criminal complaint had been filed against their
25 children's teacher. In fact, DORAN was not arrested until approximately six weeks later on or
26 around August 25, 2015. In the intervening period, Defendants permitted DORAN to continue
27 on at his special education teaching position at Forbuss Elementary, putting LMV, MH, SS and
28 their classmates at further risk of abuse.

1 **36.** The minor plaintiffs' parents were finally made aware of some of the details of
2 abuse after it was reported in the Review Journal on August 26, 2015, that DORAN faces "abuse
3 charges after colleagues reported that he hit and threw an autistic student who is unable to
4 speak." The Review Journal also reported that there were "multiple reports" of abuse during
5 April of 2015.

6 **37.** Even after DORAN's arrest, the District has persisted in its policy of active
7 concealment, refusing to disclose details of the abuse to the minor plaintiffs' parents, despite
8 repeated entreaties to do so. To date, upon information and belief, despite being fully aware of
9 the extent of the abusive acts perpetrated by DORAN, none of the parents of any children subject
10 to abuse, including the plaintiffs, have been formally notified by the District regarding what was
11 going on in DORAN's classroom.

12 **38.** As a proximate result of the actions of DORAN and of the actions of PAQUETTE
13 and KELLER, LMV, MH and SS did each suffer unjustifiable physical pain and mental
14 suffering.

15 **39.** Plaintiffs have exhausted their administrative remedies under the Individuals with
16 Disabilities Education Act and all issues under the Act have been fully resolved. Plaintiffs do
17 not seek any services or remedies available under the Act.

18 **B. Allegations Specific to Plaintiffs LMV, VILLALOBOS and ESPINOZA**

19 **40.** LMV was born in 2005 and was nine years old when he was assigned to
20 DORAN'S special education classroom in the fall of 2014.

21 **41.** Prior to attending DORAN's class, LMV had enjoyed going to school. However,
22 in or around the beginning of the 2014-2015 school year, LMV's parents began to sense that
23 something was wrong. LMV would often want to return home with his mother when he saw her
24 on school grounds, which he did not do prior to his placement in DORAN's class.

25 **42.** During the months that LMV was in DORAN's classroom, LMV began
26 exhibiting self-harming behaviors, such as hitting himself on the head and scratching himself.
27 He also began acting aggressively towards other family members, including scratching them.
28 The aggression towards others was particularly noticeable whenever LMV was touched on the

1 face, patted on the back, or confronted by someone making a loud noise. The aggression and
2 ultra-sensitivity were uncharacteristic for LMV and were behaviors that had not been exhibited
3 before.

4 **43.** LMV's parents became concerned about their son's disturbing behavior.
5 However, due to his disabilities, LMV was unable to articulate the cause of his distress to his
6 parents.

7 **44.** The extent and duration of the abuse suffered by LMV is unknown to Plaintiffs at
8 this time; however, at least one incident is known to have occurred in or around April 27, 2015,
9 when LMV's hands were grabbed and slapped by DORAN. The use of force was used in a
10 circumstance where no emergency condition existed and was such a serious violation of school
11 policy as to justify personnel action being taken against DORAN.

12 **45.** On or around May 2, 2015, PAQUETTE sent LMV's parents an email stating that
13 DORAN "may" have used an aversive interaction with another student, but that "[LMV] was not
14 involved."

15 **46.** On or around May 11, 2015, an IEP was held on behalf of LMV, which was
16 attended by LMV's parents. Employees and/or contractors of CCSD who were present at the
17 IEP included: DORAN; Jerrell Hall, representative of CCSD; Leslie Wolf, LMV's general
18 education teacher; Jessica Tarantino, LMV's adapted physical education teacher; Lyann
19 Materna, LMV's in-school speech pathology therapist; and Stacey Schnebelen. On information
20 and belief, at least some members of the IEP team were aware of the April 27, 2015 slapping
21 incident, but did not disclose it to LMV's parents. Further, the incident had not been entered into
22 LMV's cumulative record. This was a violation of state law and District regulations.

23 **47.** On or around May 11, 2015, PAQUETTE sent LMV's parents a CCF-624 Notice
24 of Physical Restraint, Mechanical Restraint, or Aversive Intervention. The Notice stated that a
25 Teaching Assistant had witnessed DORAN "slapping" LMV's hand on April 27, 2015. The
26 notice indicated that no emergency condition existed and that there was a need for personnel
27 action. The Notice was sent fourteen days after the incident. LMV's parents were extremely
28 confused and upset, given the delay in receiving the report as well as PAQUETTE's earlier

1 representation that their son hadn't been involved in any kind of aversive intervention.

2 **48.** On or around May 12, 2015, LMV's parents contacted PAQUETTE seeking an
3 explanation regarding the delay in reporting as well as the earlier contradictory information he
4 had provided. PAQUETTE maintained that any delay in reporting was by no fault of his own
5 and refused to discuss the matter any further.

6 **49.** On or about May 15, 2015, based in part on their concern for their son's safety
7 and wellbeing, LMV's parents filed a due process complaint against the District with the Nevada
8 Department of Education. On or around the same day, LMV's parents also requested copies of
9 any and all form CCF-624 Notices of Physical Restraint, Mechanical Restraint, or Aversive
10 Intervention relating to LMV or any other students in DORAN's classroom. LMV's parents
11 were told by PAQUETTE that the only aversive intervention involving LMV was the slapping
12 incident from April 27, 2015, which PAQUETTE dismissed as "insignificant." LMV's parents
13 were told that there had been one other "isolated" incident involving another student and
14 DORAN in April 2015, but that DORAN was "star" instructor and additional measures would be
15 "immediately" implemented to ensure the safety of the children in the classroom.

16 **50.** On or about May 27, 2015, a resolution was conducted between LMV's parents
17 and the District in connection with their due process complaint. The District told LMV's parents
18 that all aversive intervention reports had been disclosed to them; that LMV had only been
19 involved in the one incident dating from April 27, 2015 which consisted of DORAN slapping
20 "[LMV's] right hand away"; and that there had been only one other aversive intervention in
21 DORAN's classroom, which occurred in late April and involved a different student. It was not
22 until after DORAN's arrest several months later that LMV's parents learned that the District's
23 representations to them were false.

24 **51.** On or about May 30, 2015, counsel for LMV and his parents contacted KELLER,
25 who was responsible for DORAN's placement and was PAQUETTE's supervisor to ask that
26 DORAN not be permitted to return to the classroom. KELLER refused to take any action to
27 prevent DORAN's return to Forbuss Elementary.

28 ///

1 **52.** On or about June 18, 2015, LMV's parents and the District reached a resolution
 2 agreement regarding their due process complaint wherein the District agreed that LMV would be
 3 provided with additional adult supervision at all times.

4 **53.** On or about July 14, 2015, a criminal complaint was filed against DORAN for
 5 three counts of willfully and unlawfully using force or violence upon LMV, and a warrant was
 6 issued for his arrest. DORAN was not arrested by police until on or around August 25, 2015. In
 7 the meantime, DORAN continued to teach at Forbuss. Defendants took no measures to protect
 8 the minor plaintiffs or their classmates. Further, LMV's parents were never notified by anyone
 9 at the school regarding the criminal complaint or arrest warrant.

10 **54.** Both of LMV's parents are devastated about what happened to their son.
 11 Moreover, they feel that they have been mistreated by the District because of its failure to
 12 communicate to them what was going on with respect to their son and DORAN.

13 **55.** Since leaving DORAN'S classroom, LMV continues to experience anxiety, stress
 14 and fear that were caused by the Defendants' conduct and/or failure to act. On information and
 15 belief, LMV will need psychological treatment to address the trauma he has experienced.

16 **C. Allegations Specific to Plaintiffs MH, B. HURD and G. HURD**

17 **56.** MH was born in 2005 and was nine years old when she was assigned to
 18 DORAN's classroom.

19 **57.** Prior to attending DORAN's class, MH had enjoyed going to school. However,
 20 in or around the beginning of the 2014-2015 school year, LMV's parents began to sense that
 21 something was wrong.

22 **58.** During the months that MH was in DORAN's classroom, MH's behavior
 23 underwent significant adverse changes. MH's parents observed a decline in attention and
 24 increased avoidance. In addition, MH began exhibiting certain self-harming behaviors, such as
 25 hitting herself in the face, arms, and legs. MH's parents observed that this would happen most
 26 often when MH knew she had done something wrong. These symptoms and behaviors were not
 27 present in the same severity or degree, if at all, prior to the 2014-2015 school year.

28 ///

1 **59.** MH's parents became concerned about their daughter's changed behavior.

2 However, due to her disabilities, MH was unable to articulate the cause of her distress to her parents.

3 **60.** The extent and duration of the abuse suffered by MH is unknown to Plaintiffs at
4 this time; however, at least one incident occurred in or around April 28, 2015, when DORAN
5 approached MH as she sat with her head resting on her desk and forcefully pulled her by the hair,
6 lifting her from the desk.

7 **61.** On or about May 2, 2015, PAQUETTE sent an email to MH's parents that
8 DORAN "may" have used an aversive intervention with another student in MH's class, but that
9 "[MH] was not involved in the incident."

10 **62.** On or about May 11, 2015, PAQUETTE sent MH's parents a CCF-624 Notice of
11 Physical Restraint, Mechanical Restraint, or Aversive Intervention. The Notice stated that a
12 Teaching Assistant had witnessed DORAN pulling MH's hair on or around April 28, 2015. The
13 Notice indicated that no emergency condition existed and that there was a need for personnel
14 action. The Notice was sent almost two weeks after the date of the incident, in violation of
15 District regulations and state law. The same day, MH's parents spoke to PAQUETTE by phone
16 who explained that DORAN had pulled MH's hair "to force attention during class."

17 **63.** On or about May 13, 2015, B. HURD spoke with VILLALOBOS, who indicated
18 that he too had received a notice of aversive intervention involving his child (LMV) and
19 DORAN. Worried for his child's safety and wellbeing, MH's parents contacted PAQUETTE
20 and demanded an explanation.

21 **64.** On or about May 15, 2015, MH's parents had a meeting with PAQUETTE.
22 DORAN was also present. PAQUETTE attempted to having downplayed the severity of the
23 incident, explaining that it occurred because of a "lack of training." PAQUETTE explained that
24 DORAN and his Teaching Assistant were attending classes and promised that an incident of this
25 kind would never happen again.

26 **65.** On or about July 14, 2015, a criminal complaint was filed against DORAN for
27 three counts of willfully and unlawfully using force or violence upon LMV, and a warrant was
28 issued for his arrest. DORAN was not arrested by police until on or around August 25, 2015. In

1 the meantime, DORAN continued to teach at Forbuss. Defendants took no measures to protect
 2 the minor plaintiffs or their classmates. Further, MH's parents were never notified by anyone at
 3 the school regarding the criminal complaint or arrest warrant.

4 **66.** Both of MH's parents are devastated about what happened to their daughter.
 5 Moreover, they feel that they have been mistreated by the District because of its failure to
 6 communicate to them what was going on with respect to their daughter and DORAN.

7 **67.** Since leaving DORAN'S classroom, MH continues to experience anxiety, stress
 8 and fear that were caused by the Defendants' conduct and/or failure to act. For instance, MH
 9 will hit herself repeatedly while screaming "No Mr. Doran" when MH is told by her parents that
 10 it's time for school. MH's parents have done their best to discourage this behavior by assuring
 11 her that DORAN will not be there ever again.

12 **68.** On information and belief, MH will need psychological treatment to address the
 13 trauma she has experienced.

14 **D. Allegations Specific to Plaintiffs S.S., SANAEI, and EGHDAMIAN.**

15 **69.** SS was born in 2004 and was ten years old when he was assigned to DORAN'S
 16 special education classroom in the fall of 2014.

17 **70.** Two years before being placed in DORAN's classroom, in March 2012, SS's
 18 teacher reported that he did not present as sad or angry, and that the emotion he showed most
 19 was mischievousness. He was described as being very playful. His teacher also reported that
 20 though he was not able to communicate verbally, he was quite vocal, often spontaneously
 21 singing songs or repeating snippets of conversation.

22 **71.** In the 2012-2013 school year, immediately before SS was placed in DORAN's
 23 classroom, during each of the three trimesters, SS's teacher reported that SS was making
 24 progress on his IEP goals. She reported that he had learned the classroom routine; was able to
 25 transition between activities; was able to line up; that he had improvements in being cooperative
 26 with adults; that he was responding to requests; that he was having improvements in the length of
 27 time he could stay on task; and that he was showing progress in reading and math skills.

28 ///

1 **72.** During the period when SS was assigned to DORAN's special education class, SS
 2 was diagnosed with a hernia, which required surgery. On information and belief, this hernia was
 3 caused by the physical abuse by DORAN. At the time SS was diagnosed with the hernia, his
 4 parents did not know that he was being abused by DORAN, and therefore did not understand that
 5 abuse by DORAN was the cause of the hernia.

6 **73.** Prior to being assigned to DORAN's special education class, SS was toilet-trained
 7 and displayed levels of continence and daytime incontinence at a rate that was average or near-
 8 average for his age. While in DORAN's class, SS developed daytime incontinence, and had 12
 9 documented instances of pants-wetting during the school day during the approximate timeframe
 10 of January – May 2015.

11 **74.** On information and belief, the incontinence exhibited by SS during his time in
 12 DORAN's class was caused by DORAN'S abuse. At the time SS was demonstrating this
 13 incontinence, his parents did not know that he was being abused by DORAN, and therefore did
 14 not understand that abuse by DORAN was the cause of the incontinence.

15 **75.** Prior to being assigned to DORAN's special education class, SS had a moderate
 16 history of trying to run from his classrooms, which was closely associated with his difficulty
 17 sitting still, and desire to be in movement, which are symptoms of his disability, and which are
 18 common among similarly-situated special education students . While in DORAN's class, SS
 19 developed a significant elopement behavior, and successfully eloped from the classroom of
 20 DORAN at least 22 times, including exiting the school building completely on at least two
 21 occasions.

22 **76.** On information and belief, the elopements exhibited by SS were escape behaviors
 23 induced by SS's fear of DORAN. At the time SS was exhibiting this escape behavior, his parents
 24 did not know that SS was being abused by DORAN, and therefore did not understand that abuse
 25 by DORAN was the cause of the behavior.

26 **77.** Prior to being assigned to DORAN's special education class, the school records of
 27 SS consistently documented progress, albeit slow, of the reading skills of SS. Records prepared
 28 while in DORAN's class, document that SS made none to marginal progress in his reading skills.

1 **78.** On information and belief, the failure of SS to progress academically was caused
2 by DORAN's abuse. At the time SS was exhibiting failure to progress in his learning, his parents
3 did not know that SS was being abused by DORAN, and therefore did not understand that abuse
4 by DORAN was the cause of the failure.

5 **79.** Prior to being assigned to DORAN's special education class, SS displayed no
6 abnormal behavior in regards to food and eating. While in DORAN's class, SS developed
7 several abnormal behaviors related to eating and food.

8 **80.** On information and belief, the abnormal behaviors of SS related to food and
9 eating were caused by DORAN's abuse. At the time SS began exhibiting these behaviors his
10 parents did not know that SS was being abused by DORAN, and therefore did not understand
11 that abuse by DORAN was the cause of the behaviors.

12 **81.** Prior to being assigned to DORAN's special education class, SS displayed interest
13 in and excitement about going to school. While in DORAN's class, SS developed an aversion to
14 going to school. When told it was time to go to school, he would say he doesn't like to go to
15 school, and he would cry.

16 **82.** On information and belief, the development of SS's aversion to school was
17 caused by DORAN's abuse. At the time SS began this aversion to school, his parents did not
18 know that SS was being abused by DORAN, and therefore did not understand that abuse by
19 DORAN was the cause of SS's aversion to going to school.

20 **83.** Prior to being assigned to DORAN's special education class, SS generally
21 demonstrated happy behaviors at home, such as singing and dancing around the house. While in
22 DORAN's class, SS began to have frequent episodes of emotional distress with no apparent
23 cause, in which he would cry.

24 **84.** On information and belief, the emotional distress of SS was caused by the abuse
25 by DORAN. At the time SS began exhibiting this emotional distress, his parents did not know
26 that SS was being abused by DORAN, and therefore did not understand that abuse by DORAN
27 was the cause of the loss of SS's happy state and of his emotional distress.

28 ///

1 **85.** Prior to being assigned to DORAN's special education class, the parents of SS did
 2 not frequently notice unexplained injuries on the body of SS. While in DORAN's class, SS
 3 began to arrive home from school frequently with red marks and scratches on his body and on
 4 one occasion the school notified his parents that SS had hit his head, causing a bump to form. It
 5 did not occur to the parents of SS that SS was being abused by his teacher, and they therefore
 6 attributed these injuries to rough play with his peers.

7 **86.** On information and belief, the injuries SS suffered to his body during his time in
 8 the classroom of DORAN were a result of DORAN's abuse. At the time SS began arriving home
 9 with injuries on his body, his parents did not know that SS was being abused by DORAN, and
 10 therefore did not understand that abuse by DORAN was the cause of these injuries.

11 **87.** On one occasion when SS was in DORAN's class he arrived home with a very
 12 large bruise on his thigh. It did not occur to the parents of SS that SS was being abused by his
 13 teacher, and they therefore attributed this injury to rough play with his peers.

14 **88.** On information and belief, in relation to criminal charges filed against DORAN, a
 15 classroom aide stated that she witnessed SS on the ground and being kicked by DORAN. The
 16 described incident is consistent with the arrival at home of SS with the large bruise on his thigh.

17 **89.** On information and belief, the large bruise suffered by SS was caused by the
 18 abuse by DORAN. At the time SS suffered this injury, his parents did not know that SS was
 19 being abused by DORAN, and therefore did not understand that abuse by DORAN was the cause
 20 of this injury.

21 **90.** On one occasion during the time SS was in the class of DORAN, the mother of
 22 SS picked him up from school, to find that his hand/arm hurt so badly he wouldn't allow his
 23 mom to touch it. His mother questioned DORAN regarding the injury, and DORAN denied
 24 having any idea of the cause. Upon examination at the emergency room that night, the physician
 25 informed the parents of SS that his arm was so swollen due to trauma that they were unable to
 26 determine from the x-rays whether there was a fracture to the bone. As a result of the injury, the
 27 arm of SS was in a cast for over a month.

28 ///

1 **91.** On information and belief, the injury to the arm of SS was caused by DORAN's
 2 abuse. At the time of the injury to the arm of SS, the parents of SS did not know that SS was
 3 being abused by DORAN, and therefore did not understand that abuse by DORAN was the cause
 4 of this injury.

5 **92.** During the time that SS was in the classroom of DORAN, his parents were never
 6 provided with any incident reports regarding SS, with respect to aversive interventions or for any
 7 other reason, and none of the aversive and/or abusive actions of DORAN were documented in
 8 the IEP's or cumulative file of SS.

9 **93.** Based upon the documented experiences of LMV, MH and their parents described
 10 herein, upon the criminal investigation of DORAN, the full contents of which is currently
 11 unknown to Plaintiffs, and upon statements of witnesses related to the criminal charges against
 12 DORAN, on information and belief, PAQUETTE and KELLER knew of the abuse of SS and
 13 failed to prevent further abuse, and PAQUETTE and KELLER currently have knowledge of
 14 abuse of SS by DORAN that has not been disclosed to his parents.

15 **94.** The extent and duration of the abuse suffered by SS is unknown to Plaintiffs at
 16 this time.

17 **95.** Due to his disabilities, SS was unable to articulate to his parents that he was being
 18 abused by DORAN and witnessing the abuse of other students by DORAN, nor to explain to
 19 them the cause of his emotional distress.

20 **96.** On or about July 14, 2015, a criminal complaint was filed against DORAN for
 21 three counts of willfully and unlawfully using force or violence upon LMV, and a warrant was
 22 issued for his arrest. DORAN was not arrested by police until on or around August 25, 2015. In
 23 the meantime, DORAN continued to teach at Forbuss. Defendants took no measures to protect
 24 the minor plaintiffs or their classmates. Further, the parents of SS were never notified by anyone
 25 at the school regarding the criminal complaint or arrest warrant.

26 **97.** Both of SS's parents are devastated about what happened to their son. Moreover,
 27 they feel that they have been mistreated by the District because of its failure to communicate to
 28 them what was going on with respect to their son and DORAN.

98. Since leaving DORAN'S classroom, SS continues to experience anxiety, stress and fear that were caused by the Defendants' conduct and/or failure to act.

99. On information and belief, SS will need psychological treatment to address the trauma he has experienced.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of Constitutional Rights, 42 U.S.C. § 1983

Plaintiffs LMV, MH and SS vs. DORAN, PAQUETTE and CCSD

100. Plaintiffs refer to, and incorporate by reference, all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

101. LMV, MH and SS have a constitutional right under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution to be free from unreasonable seizures and to be secure in their persons and to maintain their bodily integrity against unreasonable assaults of their persons.

102. LMV, MH and SS have a constitutionally protected liberty interest under the Fourteenth Amendment in personal security, bodily integrity and freedom from unjustified intrusions on their personal security, including bodily restraint and punishment without due process of law.

103. DORAN violated LMV, MH, and SS's rights under the Fourth Amendment and Fourteenth Amendment by using unjustified and unreasonable force against them.

104. DORAN's conduct was objectively unreasonable under the circumstances and in light of the educational objectives LMV, MH and SS were trying to achieve.

105. DORAN's conduct in physically seizing LMV, MH and SS unlawfully subjected them to excessive, unreasonable, and unnecessary physical force.

106. PAQUETTE violated the rights of LMV, MH and SS under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution by actions, including but not limited to, acting with deliberate indifference to the risk of harm to LMV, MH and SS from DORAN. PAQUETTE personally participated in the deprivation of constitutional rights of LMV, MH and SS by his failure to act in response to allegations of serious child abuse by DORAN.

107. The actions of DORAN and PAQUETTE as described above, were objectively unreasonable, willful and wanton, in light of the facts and circumstances.

108. CCSD violated the rights of LMV, MH and SS under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments by its failure to maintain adequate policies or conduct adequate training to prevent violations of the rights of students and parents. Violations such as the ones inflicted on Plaintiffs were an obvious risk of the procedures adopted by the City and its policymakers. CCSD's acts and omissions constitute deliberate indifference.

109. CCSD also violated the rights of LMV, MH and SS under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments when it displayed deliberate indifference to the demonstrated propensity of DORAN to violate the constitutional rights of citizens in the manner that Plaintiffs' rights were violated.

110. As a proximate result of the violations alleged hereinabove, Plaintiffs have suffered damages as herein alleged.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

**Discrimination in Violation of the Americans With Disabilities Act, USCA §§ 12101
Plaintiffs LMV, MH and SS v. CCSD**

111. Plaintiffs refer to, and incorporate by reference, all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

112. Effective January 26, 1992 Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, entitled each of LMV, MH and SS to the protections of the "Public Services" provision. Title II, Subpart A prohibits discrimination by any "public entity," including any state or local government, as defined by 42 USC § 12131, section 201 of the ADA.

113. Pursuant to 42 USC §12132, Section 202 of Title II, no qualified individual with a disability shall, by reason of such disability, be excluded from participation in or be denied the benefits of the services, programs or activities of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination by any such entity. LMV, MH and SS were at all times relevant herein qualified individuals with a disability as therein defined.

114. By subjecting the minor plaintiffs to ongoing physical and verbal abuse, LMV, MH and SS were unable to enjoy the benefits of education. In addition, non-disabled children were not subjected to similar acts of abuse.

111

1 **115.** CCSD has failed in its responsibilities under Title II to provide its services,
 2 programs and activities in a full and equal manner to disabled persons as described hereinabove,
 3 including failing to ensure that educational services are provided on an equal basis to children
 4 with disabilities and free of hostility toward their disability.

5 **116.** CCSD has further failed in its responsibilities under Title II to provide its
 6 services, programs and activities in a full and equal manner to disabled persons as described
 7 hereinabove by subjecting LMV, MH and SS to a hostile educational environment.

8 **117.** DORAN was deliberately indifferent to the risk that his actions would deprive the
 9 minor plaintiffs of equal and meaningful access to education.

10 **118.** On information and belief, PAQUETTE was deliberately indifferent to the
 11 complaints of the abuse committed by DORAN because the victims of his abuse, including
 12 LMV, MH and SS were persons with a disability. Furthermore, PAQUETTE was informed by
 13 others that LMV, MH and SS were abused by DORAN. Despite this knowledge, PAQUETTE
 14 did nothing to stop the ongoing abuse for months, and in fact actively misled the parents of LMV
 15 and MH as to the severity and frequency of the abuse by DORAN, and as to DORAN's
 16 competency. Furthermore, even after police initiated an investigation of DORAN and a criminal
 17 complaint was filed, PAQUETTE caused and allowed DORAN to continue in his role as a
 18 teacher in the classroom of LMV, MH and SS which, on information and belief caused them to
 19 suffer further physical pain and mental suffering.

20 **119.** On information and belief, KELLER was deliberately indifferent to the
 21 complaints of the abuse committed by DORAN because the victims of his abuse, including
 22 LMV, MH and SS were persons with a disability. KELLER was informed by others that LMV,
 23 MH and SS were abused by DORAN. Despite this knowledge, KELLER did nothing to stop the
 24 ongoing abuse. Furthermore, even after DORAN's abuse was reported to police, KELLER
 25 caused and allowed DORAN to continue in his role as a teacher in the classroom of LMV, MH
 26 and SS which, on information and belief, caused them to suffer further physical pain and mental
 27 suffering.

28 ///

120. This deliberate indifference by employees of CCSD gives rise to respondeat superior liability of CCSD.

121. As direct and proximate result of CCSD's failure to comply with their duty under Title II, LMV, MH and SS have suffered damages, including special and general damages, according to proof.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
Violation of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 504
Plaintiffs LMV, MH and SS vs. CCSD

122. Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege by reference all the foregoing paragraphs as if they were fully set forth herein.

123. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 794 (“Section 504”), and the regulations promulgated thereunder prohibit discrimination against persons with disabilities. Section 504 prohibits the exclusion from the participation in, or being denied the benefits of, or being subjected to discrimination under, any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.

124. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that CCSD is and has been at all relevant times the recipient of federal financial assistance, and that part of that financial assistance has been used to fund the operations, construction and/or maintenance of the specific public facilities described herein and the activities that take place therein.

125. By subjecting the minor plaintiffs to ongoing physical and verbal abuse, LMV, MH and SS were unable to enjoy the benefits of education. In addition, non-disabled children were not subjected to similar acts of abuse.

126. By its actions or inactions in denying equal access to educational services and by subjecting LMV, MH and SS to a hostile educational environment, CCSD has violated the rights of LMV and MH under § 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 794, and the regulations promulgated thereunder.

127. DORAN was deliberately indifferent to the risk that his actions would deprive the minor plaintiffs of equal and meaningful access to education.

111

128. CCSD is vicariously liable for the actions or inactions of its employees. ETTE and KELLER were deliberately indifferent to the abuse committed by DORAN. had actual knowledge of the ongoing abuse and knew that DORAN was likely to continue g LMV, MH and SS but failed to act upon that knowledge.

129. This deliberate indifference by employees of CCSD gives rise to respondeat superior liability of CCSD.

130. As a proximate result of CCSD's failure to comply with their duty under § 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 794 and the regulations promulgated thereunder, LMV, MH and SS have suffered damages as described herein.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Battery

Plaintiffs LMV, MH and SS vs. Defendant DORAN and CCSD

131. Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege by reference all the foregoing paragraphs, as if they were fully set forth herein.

132. The use of force employed by DORAN against the minor Plaintiffs as alleged herein was unnecessary to carry out any reasonable purpose and/or exceeded the force reasonably necessary under the circumstances.

133. The use of force, as alleged herein, by DORAN against minor Plaintiffs constituted a battery.

134. The actions of DORAN as alleged herein all occurred in or around the classroom at Forbuss Elementary School, to which DORAN was assigned by CCSD to work, and during regular workday hours.

135. The actions of DORAN as alleged herein were committed in the course and scope of the tasks assigned to him by CCSD.

136. All of the actions of DORAN as alleged herein were reasonably foreseeable to CCSD considering the nature and scope of his employment with CCSD, in that it was foreseeable that in the course and scope of carrying out his duties DORAN would have to exercise control over the minor Plaintiffs and other students in the class.

137. The actions of DORAN as alleged herein were also reasonably foreseeable to CCSD because DORAN was permitted to continue in his duties after his propensity for committing such acts of battery became known to his supervisors at CCSD.

138. CCSD is vicariously liable for DORAN's acts of battery pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. §41.745(1).

139. As a proximate result of DORAN's battery, LMV, MH and SS suffered damages as alleged herein.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

**Criminal Violations Motivated by Characteristics of Victim, NRS § 41.690
Plaintiffs LMV, MH and SS vs. Defendant DORAN**

140. Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege by reference all the foregoing paragraphs, as if they were fully set forth herein.

141. The use of force, as alleged herein, by DORAN against LMV, MH and SS constituted willful violations of NRS §§ 200.400, 200.481 and 200.508.

142. On information and belief, DORAN's willful violations of these provisions as they relate to Plaintiffs LMV, MH and SS were motivated by the actual or perceived disabilities of said Plaintiffs.

143. As a proximate result, LMV, MH and SS suffered damages as alleged herein.

144. Additionally, based on DORAN's violations of NRS § 41.690, Plaintiffs are entitled to costs, attorneys' fees, and punitive damages.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

**Plaintiffs LMV, MH, SS, B. HURD, G. HURD, VILLALOBOS,
ESPINOZA, SANAEI, and EGHDAMIAN vs.
Defendants DORAN, PAQUETTE, KELLER, and CCSD**

145. Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege by reference all the foregoing paragraphs, as if they were fully set forth herein.

146. On information and belief, in subjecting LMV, MH and SS to abuse as alleged herein, DORAN engaged in extreme and outrageous conduct beyond the bounds tolerated in a

1 decent society. In particular, DORAN was an adult and the teacher of LMV, MH and SS, who
 2 were young children with disabilities. As a result, DORAN was in a position of authority.
 3 LMV, MH and SS were particularly vulnerable because of their age and disabilities, such as
 4 being nonverbal. DORAN knew that LMV, MH and SS were particularly vulnerable and knew
 5 that his abuse of LMV, MH and SS would likely result in harm to them due to mental distress.

6 **147.** On information and belief, in committing the violent acts alleged herein DORAN
 7 acted with the intent to cause LMV, MH and SS extreme emotional distress, or at a minimum,
 8 acted with a reckless disregard as to whether such actions would cause such extreme emotional
 9 distress.

10 **148.** On information and belief, PAQUETTE and KELLER had actual knowledge of
 11 the violent acts committed against LMV, MH and SS by DORAN, yet failed to take steps to stop
 12 or prevent further acts of violence. Such conduct is outrageous. On information and belief,
 13 PAQUETTE and KELLER took advantage of LMV, MH and SS's disabilities by attempting to
 14 cover up their abuse, knowing full well that LMV, MH and SS could not inform anyone verbally
 15 about the abuse because of their disabilities. Defendants' conduct in this regard was outrageous
 16 and Defendants' acted either with the intent to inflict emotional distress or at a minimum, acted
 17 with a reckless disregard as to whether such actions would cause such extreme emotional
 18 distress.

19 **149.** PAQUETTE and KELLER had a duty to promptly inform VILLALOBOS,
 20 ESPINOZA, B. HURD, G. HURD, SANAEI, and EGHDAMIAN after learning about the abuse,
 21 but did not do so, and PAQUETTE actively misled the parents of LMV and MH as to the
 22 severity and frequency of the abuse by DORAN, and as to DORAN's competency. It was
 23 foreseeable that withholding this information from them would cause more emotional distress
 24 than informing them in the first place.

25 **150.** CCSD is liable for injuries proximately caused by the acts or omissions of its
 26 employees acting within the scope of their employments. *See* NRS 41.031, NRS 41.038.

27 **151.** As a proximate result of each Defendants' intentional acts, Plaintiffs have
 28 incurred damages as alleged herein.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Negligence

**Plaintiffs LMV, MH, SS, B. HURD, G. HURD, VILLALOBOS,
ESPINOZA SANAEI, and EGHDAMIAN vs.
Defendants DORAN, PAQUETTE, KELLER, and CCSD**

152. Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege by reference all the foregoing paragraphs, as if they were fully set forth herein.

153. Defendants and each of them owed a duty to exercise reasonable care in their interactions with each plaintiff.

154. A special relationship existed between each of Defendants and each of Plaintiffs, which arose from the mandatory character of school attendance and the comprehensive control over students exercised by school personnel. CCSD owed a duty to exercise reasonable care to prevent harm to LMV, MH and SS at the hands of anyone, including DORAN negligently or intentionally.

155. Furthermore, upon learning that DORAN had been suspected of abusing students, the duty to exercise reasonable care to prevent further harm to LMV, MH and SS included a duty to disclose the suspected abuse to the parents of LMV, MH and SS.

156. Teachers, instructional aides, and administrative officers of the CCSD are mandatory reporters as defined by NRS § 432B.220. As such, they were under a mandatory duty to report to a law enforcement agency or to an agency which provides child welfare services whenever any of them, in his or her professional or occupational capacity, knew or had reasonable cause to believe that had a child had been the victim of child abuse or neglect. A mandatory reporter is required to report suspected child abuse as soon as reasonably practicable, but not later than 24 hours after the person knows or has reasonable cause to believe that the child has been abused or neglected.

157. PAQUETTE and KELLER, were each at all times relevant to the allegations herein, a mandatory reporter as defined by NRS § 432B.220.

158. PAQUETTE, KELLER and other teachers, instructional aides, and/or administrative officers of CCSD were each aware that children under the supervision of DORAN were victims of abuse as defined by NRS § 432B.070 and/or NRS § 432B.090 and that the abuse

was ongoing; however, none of these mandatory reporters employed by the CCSD complied with their duty to report the abuse to an agency specified in NRS § 432B.220(1)(a).

159. Defendants and each of them breached their duty to exercise reasonable care when interacting with Plaintiffs LMV, MH and SS by physically and emotionally abusing said Plaintiffs and/or by failing to prevent harms to said Plaintiffs and/or by failing to train CCSD staff that they are mandatory reporters under state law; that what DORAN was doing to minor plaintiffs was child abuse; and/or that they were supposed to report suspected child abuse immediately to the police as required by Nevada law; and/or by failing to inform B. HURD, G. HURD, VILLALOBOS, ESPINOZA, SANAEI, and EGHDAMIAN of the suspected child abuse when Defendants learned of the suspected abuse.

160. CCSD is liable for injuries proximately caused by the acts or omissions of its employees acting within the scope of their employment. *See* NRS §§ 41.031, 41.038.

161. As a proximate result of Defendants' negligent acts, Plaintiffs have incurred damages as alleged herein.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligent Supervision

**Plaintiffs LMV, MH, SS, B. HURD, G. HURD, VILLALOBOS,
ESPINOZA, SANAEI, and EGHDAMIAN vs.
Defendants PAQUETTE, KELLER, and CCSD**

162. Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege by reference all the foregoing paragraphs, as if they were fully set forth herein.

163. As school personnel, PAQUETTE, KELLER and/or other CCSD supervisory employees owed students under their supervision, including LMV, MH and SS a protective duty of care, which includes overseeing the educational environment and the performance of DORAN and taking reasonable measures to guard LMV, MH and SS against abuse from foreseeable sources, including DORAN.

164. On information and belief, DORAN was unfit to perform the work for which he was hired to do.

111

165. On information and belief, PAQUETTE, KELLER and/or other CCSD supervisory employees knew or should have known that DORAN was abusing students at school either by personally observing the abuse or by the reports received from other school employees.

166. DORAN's abuse of LMV, MH and SS harmed each of them.

167. PAQUETTE, KELLER and/or other CCSD supervisory employees' negligence in hiring, supervising and retaining DORAN was a substantial factor in causing harm to LMV, MH and SS.

168. CCSD is liable for injuries proximately caused by the acts or omissions of its employees acting within the scope of their employments. *See* NRS 41.031, NRS 41.038.

169. As a proximate result of Defendants' negligent supervision of DORAN, Plaintiffs have incurred damages as alleged herein.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

**Enhanced Damages for Injury or Loss Suffered by a Vulnerable Person, NRS § 41.1395
Plaintiffs LMV, MH and SS vs. Defendant DORAN**

170. Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege by reference all the foregoing paragraphs, as if they were fully set forth herein.

171. At all times relevant to this action, LMV, MH and SS were each a vulnerable person as that term is defined by NRS § 41.1395.

172. In committing the violent acts alleged herein, DORAN acted with recklessness, oppression, fraud and/or malice as that term is defined by NRS § 41.1395.

173. Accordingly, Plaintiffs LMV, MH and SS are entitled to double damages and attorneys' fees and costs against DORAN under NRS § 41.1395.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs hereby demand that this matter be tried by a jury.

PRAAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment as follows:

1. Compensatory damages to Plaintiffs for pain, suffering, injury, emotional distress and for medical expenses, past and future;

- 1 2. Punitive damages against Defendants DORAN, PAQUETTE and KELLER as authorized
- 2 under NRS § 41.690;
- 3 3. Double Damages against Defendant DORAN under NRS § 41.1395;
- 4 4. Attorneys' fees and costs as authorized under U.S.C. § 1983, U.S.C. § 1210, U.S.C. §
- 5 504, and NRS §§ 41.690, 41.1395;
- 6 5. Prejudgment interest and post judgment interest as allowed by law; and
- 7 6. Such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.

8
9 Date: October 27, 2016

LAW OFFICES OF TODD BOLEY

10 By: */s/ Todd Boley*

11 _____
12 TODD BOLEY
13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs